# Impact of water constituents on radiative heat transfer in the open ocean and shelf seas

### Bronwyn Cahill<sup>1\*</sup>, Jürgen Fischer<sup>1</sup>, Hans Burchard<sup>2</sup>, Ulf Gräwe<sup>2</sup>, John Wilkin<sup>3</sup>, John Warner<sup>4</sup> and Neil Ganju<sup>4</sup> <sup>1)</sup>Institute for Space Sciences, Free University Berlin, Germany; <sup>2)</sup>Leibniz-Institute for Baltic Sea Research, Warnemünde, Germany; <sup>3)</sup>IMCS, Rutgers University, USA; <sup>4)</sup>USGS-Woods Hole, USA

## **Hudson River Plume**





Figure 1. Visible satellite imagery (MODIS) of the New York / New Jersey Bight, 6<sup>th</sup> April 2005 showing distinct regions of highly turbid water of differing optical properties associated with the Hudson River plume.

Figure 2. April 2005 absorption profiles derived from Wetlabs AC-9 absorptionattenuation data using an optical signature inversion model (Schofield et al., 2004).

High freshwater discharge event from Hudson River into NY/NJ Sea Bight, April 2005: relative contributions of phytoplankton, detritus and CDOM to total light absorption indicate two distinct water masses:

Total Absorption > 0.2 m<sup>-1</sup> => River Plume (RP) Total Absorption < 0.1 m<sup>-1</sup> => Open Shelf (OS)

## Modelling Different Water Types

**Different parameterizations of downward irradiance (I(z)) lead** to different results in upper ocean water mass structures.

## How does this impact ...



### ... surface radiant heating, stratification and circulation **River Plume – Open Shelf:**

- Warmer surface temp: ∆T ~ +2°C
- Colder bottom water:  $\Delta T \sim -2^{\circ}C$
- Sharper temperature gradient
- Greater velocity shear
- Narrow, southward buoyancy driven
- current along NJ coast
- Anti-cyclonic freshwater bulge at head of Hudson River Canyon

budget.

water anomaly.

### ... light attenuation and biogeochemistry



Figure 4: RP attenuation, RP freshwater anomaly and RP-OS phytoplankton biomass

References: Bissett et al., 1999. Carbon cycling in the upper waters of the Sargasso Sea: II. Numerical simulation of apparent and inherent optical properties, Deep Sea Res., Part I, 46, 271–317 Cahill et al., 2008. Dynamics of turbid buoyant plumes and the feedbacks on near-shore biogeochemistry and physics, Geophysical Research Letters, doi: 10.1029/2008GL033595 Fell, F. and J. Fischer, 2001. Numerical simulation of the light field in the atmosphere-ocean system using the matrix-operator method. Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy & Radiative Transfer, 69, 351-388 Schofield et al., 2004. Inversion of spectral absorption in the optically complex coastal waters of the Mid-Atlantic Bight, Journal Geophysical Research, 109, C12S04, doi:10.1029/2003JC002071

\* corresponding author: bronwyn.cahill@fu-berlin.de

## **Motivation**

Deeper, warmer surface mixed layer

Causality between light attenuation, transport of river plume properties and biogeochemical transformations. Light attenuation impacts growth of phytoplankton which subsequently modifies evolution of attenuation field and feedback into overall heat

Phytoplankton biomass tracks fresh

(Cahill et al., 2008)

### How important is the temporal and spatial variability in the underwater light field to modelling biogeochemical and physical processes in coastal and shelf seas?





Figure 5: Absorption and scattering of water molecules, various phytoplankton pigments and CDOM Attenuation of underwater light especially complex in shelf and coastal waters due to absorption and scattering of optically active constituents: water molecules, phytoplankton, detritus, CDOM, suspended sediments

## **Research Questions**

- What is the contribution of optically active water constituents, OACs (phytoplankton, CDOM, inorganic suspended sediments, detritus) to energy fluxes in upper ocean and across air-sea interface?
- How does heterogeneity in water constituents impact characteristics of sub-mesoscale vertical turbulent mixing and advective fluxes?
- How is variability in CDOM attenuation reflected by environmental conditions and phytoplankton community structure?

## **1D / 3D Experiments in Different Water Types**



and MOMO

- Calculate PAR and heating rates
- Evaluate regional implications MOMO vs. Bio-Optic underwater flight field characteristics and heating rates
- Importance of including upward *irradiance in heat flux calculations?*
- Analyse impact on marine atmospheric boundary layer heat fluxes

Site specific comparative 1D simulations of 1D COAWST/Bio-Optic, with and without feedback of biological and sediment heating rates,

Optimise Bio-Optic heat flux algorithms

### **3D Western Baltic Sea Assessment**

 Relationship between ocean state, variability in CDOM attenuation, mixing processes and phytoplankton community structure.







DFG Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft DFG Grant No. CA 1347/2-1, 2018 – 2021